arXiv:1104.0915v1 [math.SP] 5 Apr 2011

ROOT SYSTEM OF A PERTURBATION OF A SELFADJOINT OPERATOR WITH DISCRETE SPECTRUM

JAMES ADDUCI AND BORIS MITYAGIN

Abstract. We analyze the perturbations $T+B$ of a selfadjoint operator T in a Hilbert space H with discrete spectrum $\{t_k\}$, $T\phi_k = t_k\phi_k$, as an extension of our constructions in [1] where T was a harmonic oscillator operator. In particular, if $t_{k+1} - t_k \geq ck^{\alpha-1}$, $\alpha > 1/2$ and $\|B\phi_k\| = o(k^{\alpha-1})$ then the system of root vectors of $T + B$, eventually eigenvectors of geometric multiplicity 1, is an unconditional basis in H.

1. Statement of main results

Let H be a separable Hilbert space. Consider an operator T with domain dom$T$ whose spectrum consists of a countable set of eigenvalues $\tau = \{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with corresponding eigenvectors $\{\phi_k\}$,

$$T\phi_k = t_k\phi_k,$$

which form an orthonormal basis in H. Let us also assume that $t_{k+1}-t_k > 0$ and that for some fixed $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $d > 0$

$$t_{k+p} - t_k > d \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{1.1}$$

Define $\triangle t_k = t_{k+1}-t_k$. Then (1.1) says $\triangle t_k+\triangle t_{k+1}+\ldots+\triangle t_{k+p-1} > d \quad \forall k$. Hence, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $\gamma(k) \in \{0, 1, \ldots , p-1\}$ such that

1. $\triangle t_{k+\gamma(k)} \geq d/p$ and
2. $\triangle t_{k+j} < d/p \quad \forall j < \gamma(k)$.

Let $j_1 = 1$ and $j_k = j_{k-1} + \gamma(j_{k-1})$ for $k > 1$ and define $T_k = t_{j_k}$. Define the intervals

$$F_1 = [T_1 - \frac{d}{2p}, T_2 + \frac{d}{2p}], \quad F_k = [T_k + \frac{d}{2p}, T_{k+1} + \frac{d}{2p}], \quad k > 1.$$

It follows that

$$\tau \subset \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \quad \text{and} \quad \#(\tau \cap F_k) \leq p \quad \forall k.$$

Set

$$\Pi_k = \{a + ib : a \in F_k, |b| \leq \frac{d}{2p}\}, \quad \Gamma_k = \partial\Pi_k \tag{1.2}$$

and for $z \notin \mathrm{Sp}T$,

$$R^0(z) = (z - T)^{-1}. \tag{1.3}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47E05, 34L40, 34L10.

With

$$P_k^0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_k} R^0(z)dz$$

we have a resolution of the identity $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k^0$.

Consider the perturbed operator $L = T + B$ with B closed and dom$B \supseteq$ dom$T$. Set $\beta = \{\beta_k = \|B\phi_k\|^2\}$. In Proposition 1 we will use the condition

$$\limsup \beta_k < \left(\frac{d}{2p}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{8p(1+\pi^2/3)}\right). \tag{1.4}$$

This condition implies the existence of integers M, N such that

$$\beta_k \le \left(\frac{d}{2p}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{8p(1+\pi^2/3)}\right) \forall k \ge M, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\|\beta\|_\infty \le \frac{d^2}{16p^2}\left(2p\sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} 1/j^2\right)^{-1}. \tag{1.6}$$

Define h to be a positive constant which satisfies

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h^2 + \left(\frac{jd}{2p}\right)^2} \le \frac{1}{8p\|\beta\|_\infty}$$

and set

$$\Pi_0 = \{a + ib : -h \le a \le T_{M+N+1} + \frac{d}{2p}, |b| \le h\}, \quad \Gamma_0 = \partial\Pi_0,$$

$$R(z) = (z - L)^{-1} \quad \forall z \notin \mathrm{Sp}L.$$

Proposition 1. *Suppose the conditions (1.1) and (1.4) hold and that* M, N *satisfy (1.5 - 1.6);* $K = M + N$*. Then, with the notation (1.2) - (1.3), SpL is discrete and contained in* $\Pi_0 \cup \cup_{j=K+1}^{\infty}\Pi_j$.

This proposition implies that the following operators are well-defined

$$S_K = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\Gamma_0} R(z)dz,$$

$$P_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\Gamma_k} R(z)dz \quad \text{for } k \ge K+1.$$

Proposition 2. *Under the conditions of Proposition 1,*

$$dim S_K = \sum_{j=1}^{K} dim P_j^0 \le pK, \tag{1.7}$$

$$dim P_j = dim P_j^0 \le p \quad \text{for all } j \ge K+1 \quad \text{and} \tag{1.8}$$

$$\|R(z)\|^2 \le \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)^2 \quad \forall z \notin \Pi_0 \cup \cup_{j=K+1}^{\infty}\Pi_j. \tag{1.9}$$

Theorem 3. *Suppose the condition (1.1) holds and* $\|B\phi_k\| \to 0$ *as* $k \to \infty$. *Then there is a bounded operator* W *such that* $WP_kW^{-1} = P_k^0$, $dim P_k^0 \leq p$ *for all* $k > K$ *and* $WS_KW^{-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} P_k^0$. *Hence,* $\{S_K, P_{K+1}, P_{K+2}, \ldots\}$ *is a Riesz system of projections.*

Basically this statement is proven in [9, Thm. 2] where the condition (1.4) is weaker (see (1.2) there) but the dimension of the projectors $\{P_k\}$ in the Riesz system are bounded by $2p$, not by p. Our alternative approach –as in [1]– is based on the boundedness of the discrete Hilbert transform and its adjustments.

We will also consider the case in which the sequence of eigenvalues satisfies the growth condition

$$t_{k+1} - t_k \geq \kappa k^{\alpha-1} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.10}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, \infty)\backslash\{1\}$.
Define

$$v = 2^{\frac{1}{|\alpha-1|}} \tag{1.11}$$

and put

$$V_0 = [0, v) \cap \mathbb{N}, \quad V_k = [v^k, v^{k+1}) \cap \mathbb{N} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consider a closed operator B with $\mathrm{dom} B \supseteq \mathrm{dom} T$ and

$$\|B\phi_k\| = c_k k^{\alpha-1} \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{k\to\infty} c_k = 0. \tag{1.12}$$

(See the remark in Section 7.1). Set $L = T + B$ and $c_\infty = \sup |c_k|$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ define

(1.13)

$$\Pi_k = \{a + ib : t_k - (\kappa/2)(k-1)^{\alpha-1} \leq a \leq t_k + (\kappa/2)k^{\alpha-1}, \quad |b| \leq (\kappa/2)k^{\alpha-1}\}$$

and $\Lambda_k = \partial\Pi_k$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\Lambda_k| \leq 4\kappa k^{\alpha-1} \quad \text{and} \\ &\{t_k\} \subset \cup_1^\infty \Pi_j \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

Now select N large enough so that

$$v^{N\alpha} > c_\infty^2 \left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{v}}\right) \quad \text{and} \tag{1.15}$$

$$c_j^2 \leq (1/4)\left(\frac{16}{\kappa^2}\left(1 + \frac{2\pi^2}{3}\right) + \frac{4}{1-\frac{1}{v}}\right)^{-1} \quad \forall j \in V_j, \quad j \geq N/2. \tag{1.16}$$

Finally set

$$\ell = \sup\left\{\cup_{j\le N} V_j\right\},$$

$$Y = \left(4c_\infty^2 v \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\sqrt{v}/2\right)^{2j}\right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \tag{1.17}$$

$$\Pi_0 = \{a+ib : -Y \le a \le t_\ell + (\kappa/2)\ell^{\alpha-1}, \quad |b| \le Y\} \tag{1.18}$$

and define

(1.19)

$$R^0(z) = (z-T)^{-1}, \quad R(z) = (z-L)^{-1},$$

(1.20)

$$Q_j^0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Lambda_j} R^0(z)dz \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad Q_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Lambda_j} R(z)dz \quad \forall j > \ell \quad \text{and}$$

(1.21)

$$U_\ell = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Lambda_0} R(z)dz.$$

Proposition 4. *Suppose the conditions (1.10) and (1.12) hold with* $\alpha \in (0,\infty)\backslash\{1\}$*. Then* SpL *is discrete and eventually simple. Furthermore, with the notation (1.17)-(1.20), we have:*

$$SpL \subset \Pi_0 \cup \left(\cup_{j=\ell+1}^{\infty} \Pi_j\right),$$

$$dim U_\ell = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} dim Q_j^0, \quad \text{and} \quad dim Q_j = dim Q_j^0 = 1 \quad \forall j > \ell.$$

Proposition 5. *Fix* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $n > \ell$*. Then for each* $z \in \Lambda_n$ *we have:*

$$\|R(z)\| \le \begin{cases} \kappa n^{1-\alpha} & \text{if} \quad 1/2 < \alpha < 1, \\ \kappa (n-1)^{1-\alpha} & \text{if} \quad 1 < \alpha < \infty. \end{cases} \tag{1.22}$$

Theorem 6. *Let* $\alpha \in (1/2,\infty)\backslash\{1\}$ *and suppose the condition (1.10) and (1.12) hold. Then there is a bounded operator* W *such that* $WU_\ell W^{-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} Q_k^0$ *and* $WQ_kW^{-1} = Q_k^0$ *for all* $k > \ell$*. Hence,* $\{U_\ell, Q_{\ell+1}, Q_{\ell+2}, \ldots\}$ *is a Riesz system of projections.*

Let us notice that Propositions 4, 5 and Theorem 6 can be reformulated in an proper way for $\alpha = 1$. This would necessitate additional notation. We refer the reader to our previous paper [1] where the case $\alpha = 1$ is formulated and proven in detail.

2. TECHNICAL PRELIMINARIES

Define $B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ to be the space of all bounded linear operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Given a strictly increasing sequence of real numbers $a = (a_k)$ define the generalized discrete Hilbert transform (GDHT) by

$$(G_a\xi)(n) = \sum_{k\neq n} \frac{\xi_k}{a_k - a_n}, \quad \xi = (\xi_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}. \tag{2.1}$$

Of course care must be taken to ensure that the right hand side of (2.1) is defined. If $a_k = k \quad \forall k$ we have the standard discrete Hilbert transform (DHT) $G \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ (see HLP).

Lemma 7. *Suppose* $a_{k+1} - a_k > 0$ *and* $a_k \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then* $G_a \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$.

Proof. Suppose $\xi \in \ell^2 := \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Define the operator I_a by $I_a(e_{a_k}) = e_{a_k} \quad \forall k$ and $I_a(e_j) = 0 \quad \forall j \notin a$ and define a vector $\tilde{\xi}$ by $\tilde{\xi}_{a_k} = \xi_k \quad \forall k$ and $\tilde{\xi}_j = 0 \quad \forall j \notin a$. Then $\|\xi\| = \|\tilde{\xi}\|$ and $G_a\xi = I_a G I_a \tilde{\xi}$. Because I_a and G are bounded, G_a is bounded as well. ☐

Lemma 8. *Suppose A is an operator whose matrix entries satisfy*

$$|A_{k,j}| \leq \frac{C}{|k-j|^2}, \quad A_{k,k} = 0.$$

Then $A \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ *with* $\|A\| \leq C\pi^2/3$.

Proof. The proof is elementary (see for example Lemma 4 in [1]). ☐

Lemma 9. *Suppose*

$$a_{k+1} - a_k > \delta \quad \forall k. \tag{2.2}$$

Then $G_a \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ *with* $\|G_a\| \leq \frac{1}{\delta}\left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3} + 2\|G\|\right)$.

Proof. Write $\mathbb{R} = \cup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} I_k, \quad I_k = [(k-1/2)\delta/2, (k+1/2)\delta/2)$. Then by (2.2), $\#(I_k \cap a) = 0 \,\text{or}\, 1$. Enumerate $\{j\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right) : \#(I_j \cap a) = 1\}$ in increasing order and call the sequence $\tilde{a}$. It follows from Lemma 7 that the GDHT $G_{\tilde{a}} \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ with $\|G_{\tilde{a}}\| \leq (2/\delta)\|G\|$. Thus, with $A := G_a - G_{\tilde{a}}$ it suffices to show $\|A\| \leq \frac{2\pi^2}{3\delta}$.

Consider the matrix entries $A_{k,k} = 0 \quad \forall k$, and for $j \neq k$,

$$|A_{j,k}| = \left|\frac{1}{a_j - a_k} - \frac{1}{\tilde{a_j} - \tilde{a_k}}\right| = \left|\frac{(\tilde{a_j} - a_j) - (\tilde{a_k} - a_k)}{(a_j - a_k)(\tilde{a_j} - \tilde{a_k})}\right|.$$

By (2.2) we have $|a_j - a_k| > |j-k|\delta$, $|\tilde{a_j} - \tilde{a_k}| \geq |j-k|(\delta/2)$, and $|\tilde{a_j} - a_j|, |\tilde{a_k} - a_k| < \delta/2$. Hence,

$$|A_{j,k}| \leq \frac{\delta/2 + \delta/2}{(|j-k|(\delta/2))(|j-k|\delta)} = (2/\delta)\frac{1}{|j-k|^2}.$$

So by Lemma 8, $\|A\| \leq \frac{2\pi^2}{3\delta}$. ☐

Lemma 10. *Suppose a_k is a strictly increasing sequence with $a_k \uparrow \infty$ and (z_k) is a complex sequence satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} &|Im z_k| < \delta, \\ &Re z_k \in (a_{k-1} + \delta, a_{k+1} - \delta) \quad \text{and} \\ &|Re z_k - a_k| < \Delta \quad \forall k. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Then the operator Z_a defined by

$$(Z_a \xi)(n) = \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{\xi_k}{a_k - z_n}$$

is bounded in ℓ^2 with

$$\|Z_a\| \leq \frac{1}{2\delta}\|G\| + \frac{2\delta\pi^2}{3\Delta^2}. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. By (2.3), $a_{k+1} - a_k > 2\delta \quad \forall k$. Hence, by Lemma 9, $\|G_a\| \leq \frac{1}{2\delta}\|G\|$. Now, set $A = G_a - Z_a$. It suffices to show $\|A\| \leq \frac{2\delta\pi^2}{3\Delta^2}$. Consider the matrix elements $A_{k,k} = 0 \quad \forall k$ and for $j \neq k$,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{j,k}| &= \left| \frac{1}{a_k - a_n} - \frac{1}{a_k - z_n} \right| = \left| \frac{a_n - z_n}{(a_k - a_n)(a_k - z_n)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2\delta}{\Delta|k-n|\Delta|k-n|} = \frac{2\delta}{\Delta^2|k-n|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 8 that $\|A\| \leq \frac{2\delta\pi^2}{3\Delta^2}$. □

Define $\ell^2(H)$ with the norm

$$\|\xi\|^2_{\ell^2(H)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\xi_j\|^2_H, \quad \xi = (\xi_k), \xi_k \in H.$$

Lemma 11. *Supppose a, z, and Z_a are as in Lemma 10. Consider the operator Z_a^V in $\ell^2(H)$*

$$(Z_a^V \xi)(n) = \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{\xi_k}{a_k - z_n}.$$

Then $\|Z_a^V\|_{\ell^2(H)} = \|Z_a\|_{\ell^2} \leq \frac{1}{2\delta}\|G\| + \frac{2\delta\pi^2}{3\Delta^2}$.

Proof. Suppose $\xi = (\xi_k) \in \ell^2(H)$ with $\xi_j = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_j^{(k)} \phi_k \in H$.
Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|Z_a^V \xi\|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(Z_a^V \xi)(n)\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j\neq n} \frac{\xi_j^{(k)}}{a_j - z_n} \right|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(Z_a \xi^{(k)})(n)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|Z_a \xi^{(k)}\|_{\ell^2}^2 \leq \|Z_a\|^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\xi^{(k)}\|_H^2 \\ &= \|Z_a\|^2 \|\xi\|_{\ell^2(H)}^2.\end{aligned}$$

□

We now move to a series of lemmas which will be used in the proofs of Proposition 4 and Theorem 6. The proofs of these lemmas for values of $0 < \alpha < 1$, and $\alpha > 1$ follow a similar pattern so we only present proofs for values of $\alpha < 1$.

Lemma 12. *Suppose $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$, $\{t_k\}_1^{\infty}$ satisfies (1.10), $m \in V_M$ and $n-1 \in V_N$ with $M \leq N-2$. Then $t_n - t_m \geq c\,(1 - 1/v)\, v^{\alpha N}$ with $v \in$ (1.11).*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}t_n - t_m &= (t_n - t_{n-1}) + (t_{n-1} - t_{n-2}) + \ldots + (t_{m+1} - t_m) \\ &\geq c[(n-1)^{\alpha-1} + (n-2)^{\alpha-1} + \ldots + m^{\alpha-1}].\end{aligned}$$

Suppose first $\alpha \in (0,1)$. By the mean value theorem if $a < b$ we have $\alpha a^{\alpha-1} \geq b^{\alpha} - a^{\alpha} \geq \alpha b^{\alpha-1}$.
Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}c[(n-1)^{\alpha-1} + (n-2)^{\alpha-1} + \ldots + m^{\alpha-1}] &\geq (c/\alpha)[n^{\alpha} - m^{\alpha}] \\ &\geq (c/\alpha)[v^{N\alpha} - v^{(N-1)\alpha}] \geq (c/\alpha)\alpha v^{N(\alpha-1)}[v^N - v^{N-1}] \\ &= c(1 - 1/v)v^{\alpha N}.\end{aligned}$$

A similar argument can be used for $\alpha > 1$. We omit the details. □

The following lemma generalizes the boundedness of the discrete Hilbert transform. It is a basic tool in our proof of Theorem 6. In fact, our proof of Theorem 6 only works for values of $\alpha > 1/2$ because the following lemma does not hold for $\alpha \leq 1/2$ (see Remark 14).

Lemma 13. *Suppose $\alpha \in (1/2, \infty)$ and $\{t_k\}_1^{\infty}$ satisfies (1.10). Then there is a constant $\tilde{C} > 0$ depending only on α such that for any $(b_m) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ we* *have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{m\neq n}\frac{m^{\alpha-1}b_m}{t_m-t_n}\right|^2 \le \tilde{C}\|b\|^2. \tag{2.5}$$

Remark 14. *Of course, (2.5) does not hold if* $\sum 1/t_n^2=\infty$ *(even for* $b=e_1$*, i.e.,* $b(1)=1,\quad b(m)=0,\ m>1$*) so* $t_n=n^a,\quad 0<a\le 1/2$ *or sequences with the growth condition (1.10) with* $a\le 1/2$ *could not be analyzed with some analog of Lemma 13 or Lemma 15.*

Proof. Let $b\in\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. First suppose $1/2<\alpha<1$ so that v from (1.11) can be written as $v=2^{2(1+\delta)}$ with $\delta>0$. Set $\gamma=\frac{1+2^{2\delta}}{2}$. By Cauchy's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{m\neq n}\frac{m^{\alpha-1}b_m}{t_m-t_n}\right|^2 &= \sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n\in V_N}\left|\sum_{M=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m\in V_M}\frac{m^{\alpha-1}b_m}{t_m-t_n}\right|^2\\ &\le\left(\frac{2}{1-\gamma^{-1/2}}\right)\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n\in V_N}\sum_{M=1}^{\infty}\gamma^{|N-M|}\left|\sum_{m\in V_M}\frac{m^{\alpha-1}b_m}{t_m-t_n}\right|^2\\ &=\left(\frac{2}{1-\gamma^{-1/2}}\right)(S_1+S_2)\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$S_1=\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n\in V_N}\sum_{\substack{M=1\\ |M-N|>1}}^{\infty}\gamma^{|N-M|}\left|\sum_{m\in V_M}\frac{m^{\alpha-1}b_m}{t_m-t_n}\right|^2$$

$$S_2=\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n\in V_N}\sum_{\substack{M=1\\ |M-N|\le1}}^{\infty}\gamma^{|N-M|}\left|\sum_{m\in V_M}\frac{m^{\alpha-1}b_m}{t_m-t_n}\right|^2.$$

By Lemma 12 and another application of Cauchy's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}S_1&\le\left[\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n\in V_N}\sum_{\substack{M=1\\ |M-N|>1}}^{\infty}\gamma^{|N-M|}\sum_{m\in V_M}\frac{m^{2(\alpha-1)}}{(t_m-t_n)^2}\right]\sum_{m\in V_M}b_m^2\\ &\le\sum_{M=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m\in V_M}b_m^2\sum_{\substack{N=1\\ |N-M|>1}}^{\infty}\frac{\gamma^{|N-M|}(\#V_M)\cdot(\#V_N)2^{-2M}}{(v/2)^{2\max(M,N)}}\\ &\le\sum_{M=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m\in V_M}b_m^2\sum_{\substack{N=1\\ |N-M|>1}}^{\infty}\gamma^{|N-M|}\,v^{M+N+2}\,2^{-2M}\,(2/v)^{2\max(M,N)}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

We will show that the following is uniformly bounded in M

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{|N-M|}\, v^{-|M-N|}\, 2^{2\max(M,N)-2M}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{|N-M|}\, v^{-|M-N|}\, 2^{2\max(M,N)-2M} \\
&\qquad = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{|N-M|}\, 2^{-2(1+\delta)|M-N|-2M}\, 2^{2\max(M,N)} \\
&\qquad \leq \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{|N-M|}\, 2^{-2\max(M,N)-2\delta|M-N|}\, 2^{2\max(M,N)} \\
&\qquad = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{|N-M|}\, \left(2^{-2\delta}\right)^{|M-N|} \leq \frac{2}{1-\frac{\gamma}{2^{2\delta}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this bound with (2.7), we conclude

$$S_1 \leq \left(\frac{2}{1-\frac{\gamma}{2^{2\delta}}}\right) \|b\|^2. \tag{2.8}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2 &\leq \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n\in V_N} \sum_{|M-N|\leq 1} \gamma^{|M-N|} \left(\sum_{m\in V_M} \frac{m^{2(\alpha-1)}}{(t_m-t_n)^2}\right) \left(\sum_{m\in V_M} |b_m|^2\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|M-N|\leq 1} (\#F_M)\cdot(\#F_N)\, \gamma^{|M-N|}\, 2^{-2M}\, (v/2)^{-2\max(M,N)} \left(\sum_{m\in V_M} |b_m|^2\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|M-N|\leq 1} v^{M+N+2}\, \gamma^{|M-N|}\, v^{-2\max(M,N)}\, 2^{-2M+2\max(M,N)} \left(\sum_{m\in V_M} |b_m|^2\right) \\
&\leq 16\, v^4 \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|M-N|\leq 1} \left(\sum_{m\in V_M} |b_m|^2\right) \leq 3\cdot 16\cdot v^4 \gamma \|b\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these bounds with (2.6) and (2.8) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left|\sum_{m\neq n} \frac{m^{\alpha-1} b_m}{t_m-t_n}\right|^2 \leq \left(\frac{2}{1-\gamma^{-1/2}}\right) \left(\left(\frac{2}{1-\frac{\gamma}{2^{2\delta}}}\right) + 3\cdot 16 v^4 \gamma\right) \|b\|^2.$$

So (2.5) is proved for $1/2 < \alpha < 1$.

The proof for $\alpha > 1$ is similar. We omit the details. □

The following lemma can be proven in the same manner as Lemma 13. We omit the details.

Lemma 15. *Suppose* $\alpha \in (1/2,\infty)\backslash\{1\}$ *and* $\{t_k\}_1^\infty$ *satisfies (1.10). Let* $\{z_k\}$ *be a sequence such that* $|z_k - t_k| \leq (c/2)k^{\alpha-1}\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then there is a constant* $C > 0$ *depending only on* α *such that for any* $(b_m) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ *we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{m\neq n}\frac{m^{\alpha-1}b_m}{t_m - z_n}\right|^2 \leq C\|b\|^2.$$

The following vector-valued version of Lemma 15 can be proven in the same manner as Lemma 11. We omit the details.

Lemma 16. *Suppose* $\alpha \in (1/2,\infty)\backslash\{1\}$ *and* $\{t_k\}_1^\infty$ *satisfies (1.10). Let* $\{z_k\}$ *be a sequence such that* $|z_k - t_k| \leq (c/2)k^{\alpha-1}\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then there is a constant* $C > 0$ *depending only on* α *such that for any* $(b_m) \in \ell^2(H)$ *we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{m\neq n}\frac{m^{\alpha-1}b_m}{t_m - z_n}\right|^2 \leq C\|b\|^2.$$

3. Proof of Proposition 1 and Proposition 2

Proof. Let $z \notin \cup_0^\infty \Pi_k$. To show $z \notin \mathrm{Sp}L$ it suffices to show $\|BR^0(z)\| \leq 1/2$ since then $R(z) = R^0(z)(I - BR^0(z))^{-1}$ is well defined. To this end let $f \in H$ with $\|f\|^2 = 1, \quad f = \sum f_k\phi_k$.
We have

$$\|BR^0(z)f\|^2 = \|BR^0(z)\sum f_k\phi_k\|^2 = \|\sum\frac{fB\phi_k}{z - t_k}\|^2$$
$$\leq \|f\|^2\sum\frac{\beta_k}{|z - t_k|^2} = \sum\frac{\beta_k}{|z - t_k|^2}.$$

Consider first the case $\mathrm{Re}z \in F_m$, for some $m \geq M + N + 1$. We have

$$\sum\frac{\beta_k}{|z - t_k|^2} = \sum\frac{\beta_k}{(\mathrm{Re}z - t_k)^2 + \mathrm{Im}z^2} \tag{3.1}$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{2p}{d}\right)^2\left(\sum_{j\in F_m}\beta_j + \left[\sum_{J=1}^{N} + \sum_{J=N+1}^{\infty}\right]\frac{1}{J^2}\sum_{j\in F_{m\pm J}}\beta_j\right).$$

Because $m \geq M + N + 1$, $m \pm N \geq M$ whenever $J < N$. So, by (1.5) we have
(3.2)

$$\sum_{j\in F_m}\beta_j + \sum_{J=1}^{N}\frac{1}{J^2}\sum_{j\in F_{m\pm J}}\beta_j \leq p\left(1 + 2\sum_{J=1}^{N}\frac{1}{J^2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{8p(1+\pi^2/3)}\right)\left(\frac{d}{2p}\right)^2$$

and by (1.6) we have

$$\sum_{J=N+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{J^2}\sum_{j\in F_{m\pm J}}\beta_j \leq 2p\left(\sum_{J=N+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{J^2}\right)\left(\frac{d^2}{16p^2}\right)\left(p\sum_{J=N+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{J^2}\right). \tag{3.3}$$

Combining (3.1) with (3.2-3.3) we conclude that

$$\|BR^0(z)f\|^2 \le 1/4$$

whenever Re$z \in F_m$ for some $m \ge M+N+1$.

Now consider the case Re$z < T_{M+N+1} + \frac{d}{2p}$, i.e. Re$z \notin F_m \quad \forall m \ge M+N+1$. Then $z \notin \cup_0^\infty \Pi_j$ implies $|z-t_k|^2 \ge h^2 + \text{Re}(|z|-t_k)^2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\beta_k}{|z-t_k|^2} &\le \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\beta_k}{h^2 + (|\text{Re}z| - t_k)^2} \\ &= \sum_{J=1}^\infty \sum_{j\in F_J} \frac{\beta_k}{h^2 + (|\text{Re}z| - t_k)^2} \\ &\le 2\sum_{J=0}^\infty \frac{p\|\beta\|_\infty}{h^2 + (\frac{Jd}{2p})^2} \le \frac{2p\|\beta\|_\infty}{8p\|\beta\|_\infty} = 1/4.\end{aligned}$$

So we have shown that $\|R^0(z)B\|^2 \le 1/4$ for all $z \notin \Pi_0 \cup \cup_{k=K+1}^\infty \Pi_k$. Thus, Sp$L \subset \Pi_0 \cup \cup_{k=K+1}^\infty \Pi_k$. Also, $\|R(z)\| = \|R^0(z)(I - BR^0(z))^{-1}\| \le \|R^0(z)\|(1/2) \le d/p$ for all $z \notin \Pi_0 \cup \cup_{k=K+1}^\infty \Pi_k$.

A standard argument (see [5]) shows that

$$\text{Trace}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_n} (z - T - tB)^{-1}\, dz, \quad 0 \le t \le 1,$$

is a continuous integer-valued scalar function so it is constant and (1.7 - 1.8) hold. □

4. Proof of Theorem 3

We first reproduce Lemma 4.17(a) from [4]. See also [3].

Lemma 17. *Let* $\{Q_k^0\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *be a complete family of orthogonal projections in a Hilbert space* X *and let* $\{Q_k\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *be a family of (not necessarily orthogonal) projections such that* $Q_jQ_k = \delta_{j,k}Q_j$. *Assume that*

$$dim(Q_0^0) = dim(Q_0) = m < \infty$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \|Q_j^0(Q_j - Q_j^0)u\|^2 \le c_0\|u\|^2, \quad \textit{for every} \quad u \in X$$

where c_0 *is a constant smaller than* 1. *Then there is a bounded operator* $W : X \to X$ *with bounded inverse such that* $Q_j = W^{-1}Q_j^0W$ *for* $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.

Proof. By Lemma 17 it suffices to show $\exists N_* \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $f \in H$ with $\|f\| = 1$,

$$\sum_{n\ge N_*} \|P_n^0(P_n - P_n^0)f\|^2 \le 1/2.$$

Fix $n > K$ (with K from Proposition 1) and $f = \sum f_k \phi_k \in H$ with $\|f\| = 1$. Then

$$P_n - P_n^0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_n} (R(z) - R^0(z))dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_n} R(z)BR^0(z)dz.$$

So by Proposition 2, inequality (1.9),

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1)\quad \|(P_n - P_n^0)f\|^2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\|\int_{\Gamma_n} R(z)BR^0(z)f dz\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\left[\int_{\Gamma_n} \|R(z)BR^0(z)f\|\right]^2 \leq C\left[\int_{\Gamma_n} \|BR^0(z)f\|\right]^2 \\ &= C\left[\int_{\Gamma_n} \|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_k B\phi_k}{z - t_k}\|dz\right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $C = \frac{1}{2\pi}(d/p)^2$.

For $n \geq K$ define $z_n^* \in \Gamma_n$ to be a point where the maximum of the sum

$$\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_k B\phi_k}{z - t_k}\|$$

is attained. Note that (z_n^*) depends on f. Since $|\Gamma_k| \leq 3C \quad \forall k \geq 1$, we have by (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \|(P_n - P_n^0)f\|^2 &\leq C|\Gamma_n|^2\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_k B\phi_k}{z - t_k}\|^2 \\ &\leq C(3d)^2\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_k B\phi_k}{z - t_k}\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Suppose, for now, that $p = 1$ so that $\#(\tau \cap F_k) \leq 1 \; \forall k \geq 1$. We will show that given any $\epsilon > 0$ if we choose N_1 as in (4.5) below, then

$$\sum_{n \geq N_1} \|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_k B\phi_k}{z_n^* - t_k}\|^2 < \epsilon \quad \forall \|f\| = 1. \tag{4.3}$$

Note that $z_n^* \in \Gamma_n$ depends on f.

Recall that G is the cannonical discrete Hilbert transform and set

$$C_1 = 4\left(\frac{d\|G\|}{p} + \frac{\pi^2}{3dp}\right).$$

Select M_1 large enough so that

$$\|B\phi_k\|^2 \leq \epsilon/C_1 \quad \forall k \geq M_1 \tag{4.4}$$

and N_1 large enough so that whenever $w \in \Gamma_n \quad \forall n \geq K$

$$\sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} |w - t_{M_1}|^{-2} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4\|\beta\|_\infty M_1} \quad \forall m \leq M_1. \tag{4.5}$$

Then

$$\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{f_kB\phi_k}{z_n^*-t_k}\|^2\le 2\left[\|\sum_{k\le M_1}\frac{f_kB\phi_k}{z_n^*-t_k}\|^2+\|\sum_{k>M_1}\frac{f_kB\phi_k}{z_n^*-t_k}\|^2\right].$$

By Cauchy's inequality we have

$$\|\sum_{k\le M_1}\frac{f_kB\phi_k}{z_n^*-t_k}\|^2\le\left(\sum_{k\le M_1}|f_k|^2\|B\phi_k\|^2\right)\left(\sum_{k\le M_1}|z_n^*-t_k|^{-2}\right).$$

So by (4.5)

$$2\sum_{n\ge N_1}\|\sum_{k\le M_1}\frac{f_kB\phi_k}{z_n^*-t_k}\|^2\le\|\beta\|_\infty M_1\sum_{n\ge N_1}|z_n^*-t_{M_1}|^{-2}<\epsilon/2. \tag{4.6}$$

It follows from Lemma 11 and (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}2\sum_{n\ge N_1}\|\sum_{k>M_1}\frac{f_kB\phi_k}{z_n^*-t_k}\|^2&\le 2\|(f_kB\phi_k)_{k=M_1}^{\infty}\|^2_{\ell^2(H)}C_1\\&\le\sup_{k\ge M_1}\|B\phi_k\|^2C_1<\epsilon/2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Hence, combining (4.6) and (4.7) we have proven (4.3).

Now suppose $p>1$. Reindex the sequences t_k, f_k, and ϕ_k in such a way that for all $k\ge 1$

$$\tau\cap F_k=\{t_k^{(1)}\le t_k^{(2)}\le\ldots\le t_k^{(J_k)}\},\quad J_k\le p.$$

Then for $\tilde{K}\ge K$,

$$\begin{aligned}C\sum_{n\ge\tilde{K}}\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{f_kB\phi_k}{z_n^*-t_k}\|^2&=C\sum_{n\ge\tilde{K}}\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{J_k}\frac{f_k^{(j)}B\phi_k^{(j)}}{z_n^*-t_k^{(j)}}\|^2\\&\le 2^pC\sum_{j=1}^{p}\sum_{n\ge\tilde{K}}\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{f_k^{(j)}B\phi_k^{(j)}}{z_n^*-t_k^{(j)}}\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Note that if $J_k<p$ some terms in the series are taken to be 0. For each $j\le p$ the sequence $t_1^{(j)}\le t_2^{(j)}\le\ldots$ satisfies (1.1) with $p=1$. So by taking $\epsilon=1/(2\cdot 2^pC)$ and applying (4.3) for each $j\le p$ the Theorem is proven by (4.2). □

5. Proof of Proposition 4 and Proposition 5

Suppose that $z\notin\Pi_0\cup\cup_{j=\ell+1}^{\infty}\Pi_j$. We will show that $\|R^0(z)B\|^2\le 1/2$. It follows that

$$R(z)=(I-R^0(z)B)^{-1}R^0(z) \tag{5.1}$$

is well defined. Let $f \in H$ with $\|f\| = 1$, $f = \sum f_k \phi_k$.
Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|R^0(z)Bf\|^2 &= \|\sum f_j BR^0(z)\phi_j\|^2 \\ &= \|\sum f_j \frac{B\phi_j}{z - t_j}\|^2 \le \left[\sum |f_j| \frac{\|B\phi_j\|}{|z - t_j|}\right]^2 \\ &\le \sum \frac{\|B\phi_j\|^2}{|z - t_j|^2} = \sum \frac{c_j^2 j^{2(\alpha-1)}}{|z - t_j|^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose first that Re $z > t_\ell + (c_\ell/2)\ell^{\alpha-1}$ so that Re $z \in [v^{\tilde{N}}, v^{\tilde{N}+1})$ for some $\tilde{N} > N$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_j^2 j^{2(\alpha-1)}}{|z - t_j|^2} = S_1 + S_2$$

with

$$S_1 = \sum_{J=1}^{\tilde{N}-1-N/2} \sum_{j \in V_J} \frac{c_j^2 j^{2(\alpha-1)}}{|z - t_j|^2}, \quad S_2 = \sum_{J=\tilde{N}-N/2}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in V_J} \frac{c_j^2 j^{2(\alpha-1)}}{|z - t_j|^2}.$$

We have

$$S_1 \le c_\infty^2 \sum_{J=1}^{\tilde{N}-1-N/2} \sum_{j \in V_J} \frac{j^{2(\alpha-1)}}{|z - t_j|^2}.$$

If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then for each $J \le \tilde{N} - 1 - N/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j \in V_J} \frac{j^{2(\alpha-1)}}{|z - t_j|^2} &\le \# F_J \frac{2^{-2J}}{v^{2(\tilde{N}-1)\alpha}} \\ &= \# F_J 2^{-2J}(v/2)^2(v/2)^{-2\tilde{N}} \le v^{J+1} 2^{-2J}(v/2)^2(2/v)^{2\tilde{N}} \\ &= (2/v)^{2(\tilde{N}-J)-2} v^{-J} v \le v(2/v)^N v^{-J}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.15) that

$$S_1 \le c_\infty^2 v(2/v)^N \sum_{J=1}^{\tilde{N}-1-N/2} v^{-J} \le c_\infty^2 (2/v)^N \left(\frac{1}{1 - 1/v}\right) < 1/4.$$

If $\alpha > 1$, then for each $J \le \tilde{N} - 1 - N/2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j \in V_J} \frac{j^{2(\alpha-1)}}{|z - t_j|^2} &\le \# V_J \frac{2^{2J}}{v^{2(\tilde{N}-1)\alpha}} \le v^{J+1} \frac{2^{2J}}{(2v)^{2(\tilde{N}-1)}} \\ &= v^{J-2\tilde{N}+3} 2^{2J-2\tilde{N}+2} \le v(2v)^{2J-2\tilde{N}+2} v^{-J} \\ &\le v(2v)^{2(J-\tilde{N})+2} v^{-J} \le v(2v)^{-N} v^{-J}.\end{aligned}$$

So it follows from (1.15) that

$$S_1 \leq c_\infty^2 v(2v)^{-N} \sum_{J=1}^{\tilde{N}-1-N/2} v^{-J} \leq c_\infty^2 (2v)^{-N} \left(\frac{1}{1-1/v} \right) < 1/4.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \sum_{J=\tilde{N}-N/2}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in V_J} \frac{c_j^2 j^{2(\alpha-1)}}{|z-t_j|^2} \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{j \in V_J \\ J \geq \tilde{N}-N/2}} \left[\left(\sum_{\substack{J=\tilde{N}-N/2 \\ J \neq \tilde{N}-1, \tilde{N}, \tilde{N}+1}}^{\infty} + \sum_{J=\tilde{N}-1, \tilde{N}, \tilde{N}+1} \right) \sum_{j \in V_J} \frac{j^{2(\alpha-1)}}{|z-t_j|^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let Re$z \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], k \in V_{\tilde{N}-1} \cup V_{\tilde{N}} \cup V_{\tilde{N}+1}$. Then

$$|z-t_k|^2 \geq (v/2)^2 k^{2(\alpha-1)} \geq (v/2)^2 2^{2(\tilde{N}-1)}$$

and for $j \neq k$, $j \in V_{\tilde{N}-1} \cup V_{\tilde{N}} \cup V_{\tilde{N}+1}$ we have

$$|z-t_j|^2 \geq (\kappa/2)^2 |j-k|^2 2^{2(\tilde{N}-1)}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{J=\tilde{N}-1, \tilde{N}, \tilde{N}+1} \sum_{j \in V_J} \frac{j^{2(\alpha-1)}}{|z-t_j|^2} \\ &\qquad \leq \frac{2^{2(\tilde{N}+1)}}{(\kappa/2)^2 2^{2(\tilde{N}-1)}} + \sum_{J=\tilde{N}-1, \tilde{N}, \tilde{N}+1} \sum_{\substack{j \in V_J \\ j \neq k}} \frac{2^{2(\tilde{N}+1)}}{(v/2)^2 |j-k|^2 2^{2(\tilde{N}-1)}} \\ &\qquad \leq \frac{16}{c^2} \left(1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} \right) = \frac{16}{\kappa^2} \left(1 + 2\pi^2/3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for $0 < \alpha < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{J=\tilde{N}-N/2}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in V_J} \frac{j^{2(\alpha-1)}}{|z-t_j|^2} &\leq \sum_{\substack{J=\tilde{N}-N/2 \\ J \neq \tilde{N}-1, \tilde{N}, \tilde{N}+1}}^{\infty} \frac{(\# F_J)\, 2^{-2J}}{(v/2)^{2(J-1)}} \\ &\leq 4 \sum_{J=\tilde{N}-M}^{\infty} \frac{v^{J+1}}{v^{2J-2}} \leq 4v \sum_{J=1}^{\infty} v^{-J} \leq 4 \left(\frac{1}{1-1/v} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument, if $\alpha > 1$ we also have

$$\sum_{J=\tilde{N}-N/2}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in V_J} \frac{j^{2(\alpha-1)}}{|z-t_j|^2} \leq 4 \left(\frac{1}{1-1/v} \right).$$

Hence, by (1.16)

$$S_2 \leq \sup_{\substack{j\in V_J \\ J\geq \tilde{N}-N/2}} \left((16/\kappa^2)(1+2\pi^2/3) + \frac{4}{1-1/v} \right) < 1/4.$$

Now suppose that $\mathrm{Re} z \leq t_\ell + (\kappa/2)\ell^{\alpha-1}$ so that $\mathrm{dist}(z,\tau)^2 \geq Y^2$. Suppose $0<\alpha<1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_j^2 j^{2(\alpha-1)}}{|z-t_j|^2} &\leq c_\infty^2 \sum_{J=1}^{N} \frac{(\# V_J)2^{-2J}}{Y^2} + \sup_{\substack{j\in V_J \\ j\geq N}} c_j^2 \sum_{J=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\# V_J)2^{-2J}}{v^{2\alpha(J-1)}} \\ &\leq \frac{c_\infty^2 v}{Y^2} \sum_{J=1}^{N} (\sqrt{v}/2)^{2J} + \sup_{\substack{j\in V_J \\ J\geq N}} \sum_{J=N+1}^{\infty} (2/v)^2 v^{1-J} < 1/2 \end{aligned}$$

by (1.16) and (1.17). We have shown

$$\|(I - R^0(z)B)^{-1}\| \leq 2 \tag{5.2}$$

so that by (5.1) $R(z)$ is well-defined.

By a similar argument for $\alpha > 1$ we also have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_j^2 j^{2(\alpha-1)}}{|z-t_j|^2} \leq 1/2.$$

We omit the details.

Now, definition (1.13) implies that for $z \in \Lambda_n$,

$$\|R^0(z)\| \leq \begin{cases} (\kappa/2)n^{1-\alpha} & \text{if} \quad 1/2<\alpha\leq 1, \\ (\kappa/2)(n-1)^{1-\alpha} & \text{if} \quad 1<\alpha<\infty. \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

Hence, inequality (1.22) follows from (5.1) and (5.2) together with (1.14) and (5.3).

6. Proof of Theorem 6

Proof. For the case of $\alpha = 1$, this theorem is proven in the paper [1]. Henceforth, we assume $\alpha \neq 1$. By Lemma 17 it suffices to show there exists an integer N_* such that

$$\sum_{n\geq N_*} \|Q_n^0(Q_n - Q_n^0)f\|^2 \leq 1/2. \tag{6.1}$$

Fix $n > N$ and $f = \sum f_k \phi_k \in H$ with $\|f\| = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n - Q_n^0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Lambda_n} (R(z) - R^0(z))dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Lambda_n} R(z)BR^0(z)dz. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\|(Q_n - Q_n^0)\|^2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\|\int_{\Lambda_n} R(z)BR^0(z)f dz\|^2\\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\left[\int_{\Lambda_n}\|R(z)BR^0(z)f\|dz\right]^2\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\left[\int_{\Lambda_n}\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{f_k R(z)B\phi_k}{z-t_k}\|dz\right]^2.\end{aligned}$$

Now define $z_n^* \in \Lambda_n$ to be a point at which the following sum attains its maximum,

$$\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{f_k B\phi_k}{z-t_k}\| \quad z \in \Lambda_n.$$

Combining (1.14) with (1.22) yields

$$|\Lambda_n|^2\|R(z)\|^2 \leq 16\kappa^2.$$

So,

$$\|(Q_n - Q_n^0)\|^2 \leq \frac{16\kappa^2}{2\pi}\left[\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{f_k B\phi_k}{z_n^*-t_k}\|\right]^2.$$

Recall the constant C from Lemma 16. Condition (1.12) implies that there exists an absolute constant N_* such that

$$\|B\phi_k\| \leq \frac{2\pi}{32\kappa^2 C}k^{\alpha-1} \quad \forall\, k \geq N_*. \tag{6.2}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\geq N_*}\|Q_n^0(Q_n - Q_n^0)f\|^2 &\leq \sum_{n\geq N_*}\|(Q_n - Q_n^0)f\|^2\\ &\leq \frac{16\kappa^2}{2\pi}\sum_{n\geq N_*}\left[\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{f_k B\phi_k}{z_n^*-t_k}\|\right]^2.\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Finally, combining (6.3) with Lemma 16 and (6.2) yields (6.1) and the proof is complete. □

7. Further remarks

7.1. Our statement of Theorem 6 required the condition

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} c_k = 0 \tag{7.1}$$

where $\{c_k\}$ is defined in (1.12). With a careful accounting of quantities appearing in the proof of Theorem 6 we could have written a constant c^* such that the condition (7.1) could be replaced by the weaker condition

$$\limsup c_k \leq c^*. \tag{7.2}$$

However, condition (7.1) or (7.2) could not be weakened in a significant way: an assumption $\limsup c_k < \infty$ would not guarantee the statement of Theorem 6. A counterexample in the case $\alpha = 1$ is given in [1], Section 6.3.

Now we'll adjust the constructions of [1] to get an operator B, with

$$\sup_m \{\|B\phi_k\|(t_{2m} - t_{2m-1})^{-1}, \quad k = 2m-1,\, 2m\} = 1/2 \tag{7.3}$$

such that the perturbation $L = T + B$ has a discrete spectrum, all points of $\mathrm{Sp}(T+B)$ are simple eigenvalues, the system $\{\psi_k\}$ of eigenvectors of L is complete, but it is *not* a basis in H. If $t_n = n^\alpha$, $\quad 0 < \alpha < \infty$, then (7.3) guarantees that $c_\infty \le 1/2$.

Special 2-dimensional blocks play an important role in this construction. Put

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & s \\ -s & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad 0 < s < 1, \quad s^2 + h^2 = 1, \quad 0 < h << 1. \tag{7.4}$$

This choice is a slight adjustment of a 2-dimensional block (64) in [1]. It simplifies elementary calculations of the $\mathrm{Angle}(g^+, g^-)$, etc., for example. Such a block (7.4) could be used to get the same counterexample in Section 6.3, [1] instead of (64) there. Of course, $\|b\| = s$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ in the Euclidean norm.

We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + c, \quad c = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & s \\ -s & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$cg^\pm = \pm h g^\pm \quad \text{where}$$
$$g^\pm = (1, G^{\pm 1}), \quad G = \sqrt{\frac{1+h}{1-h}}.$$

If $\alpha = \mathrm{Angle}(g^+, g^-)$ then

$$(\cos\alpha)^2 = \frac{(g^+, g^-)^2}{\|g^+\|^2 \cdot \|g^-\|^2} = 1 - h^2 = s^2.$$

So $\sin\alpha = h$.

If $f = \Phi_0(f)u_0 + \Phi_1(f)u_1$ is the standard basis decomposition in $\mathbb{C}^2$ then

$$\|\Phi_0\| = \|\Phi_1\| = 1/\sin\alpha = 1/h. \tag{7.5}$$

Now we define $B = \{b(m)\}$ where $b(m)$ are 2-dimensional blocks

$$\frac{1}{2}(t_{2m} - t_{2m-1}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & s \\ -s & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad s = s(m), \quad \text{say} \quad s(m)^2 + (1/m)^2 = 1,$$

on $\mathbb{C}^2 = E_m := \mathrm{Span}\{\phi_{2m-1}; \phi_{2m}\}$.

Then E_m are invariant subspaces of $T+B$ and , (compare to [1], Lemma 14),

$$(T+B)_m = \frac{1}{2}(t_{2m}+t_{2m-1}) + \frac{1}{2}(t_{2m}-t_{2m-1})\begin{bmatrix} -1 & s \\ -s & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(T+B)_m \psi_m^{\pm} = \left(\frac{1}{2}(t_{2m}+t_{2m-1}) \pm \frac{1}{2}(t_{2m}-t_{2m-1})h\right), \quad h = 1/m,$$

where

$$\psi_m^{\pm} = g^{\pm}(m) = \phi_{2m-1} + G^{\pm 1}\phi_{2m}.$$

We omit further details. With the explicit formulas given it is easy to see that (7.5) with $h = 1/m$ guarantees that $\{\psi_m^{\pm}\}_1^{\infty}$ is *not* a basis.

7.2. As an application of Theorem 5, consider the differential operator T on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$Ty = -y'' + |x|^{\beta} y, \quad \text{with} \quad \beta > 1. \tag{7.6}$$

The spectrum of T consists of an infinite set of eigenvalues

$$\text{Spec}T = \{\lambda_0 \le \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \ldots\} \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n = \infty.$$

The growth of the sequence of eigenvalues is described by the formula

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left[2\int_0^{\lambda_n^{1/\beta}} (\lambda_n - |x|^{\beta})^{1/2}dx - (n+1/2)\pi\right] = 0. \tag{7.7}$$

For a proof, see the last section of [10]. It follows from (7.7) by a change of variables that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left[2\lambda_n^{\frac{2+\beta}{2\beta}}\Omega_{\beta} - (n+1/2)\pi\right] = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega_{\beta} = 2\int_0^1 (1-x^{\beta})^{1/2}dx. \tag{7.8}$$

Subtracting the n^{th} term from the $n+1^{\text{st}}$ term in (7.8) we derive

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left[\lambda_{n+1}^{\frac{2+\beta}{2\beta}} - \lambda_n^{\frac{2+\beta}{2\beta}}\right] = \pi/\Omega_{\beta}. \tag{7.9}$$

From (7.9) it is straightforward to show that there exist constants $C > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ (depending on β) such that

$$\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \ge Cn^{\alpha-1} \quad \forall n > N, \quad \alpha = \frac{2\beta}{\beta+2}. \tag{7.10}$$

Let us mention the papers [7], [8] where the eigenvalues for the eigenproblem $-y_{zz} + q(z)y = \lambda y$ are analyzed for polynomial $q(z)$.

Denote the eigenfunction corresponding to λ_n by ϕ_n and define

$$L(p;\alpha) = \{b : b(x)(1+|x|^2)^{-\alpha/2} \in L^p(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

We have the following bound on the behavior of ϕ_n

$$|\phi_n(x)| \le \frac{K\exp(Q(x))}{|\lambda_n - |x|^\beta|^{1/4} + \lambda_n^{\frac{\beta-1}{6\beta}}} \quad \text{with} \tag{7.11}$$

$$Q(x) = \begin{cases} -\int_{\lambda_n^{1/\beta}}^x (\lambda_n - |t|^\beta)^{1/2} dt, & x > \lambda_n^{1/\beta} \\ 0, & |x| \le \lambda_n^{1/\beta} \\ \int_{\lambda_n^{1/\beta}}^x (\lambda_n - |t|^\beta)^{1/2} dt, & x < -\lambda_n^{1/\beta}. \end{cases}$$

For the case $\beta = 2$, this inequality is proven in [2], a few changes to this proof boost it to cover $\beta > 1$. We omit the details. Such constructions for Schrodinger operators with turning points are discussed in [6, Ch 8,11].

By an argument like that given for Lemma 8 in [1] it follows from (7.11) that if $b \in L(p;\alpha)$ then $\|b\phi_n\|_2 \le Cn^{\frac{2\beta\xi}{\beta+2}}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \max\{\frac{1}{3\beta}\,(1 - \beta + 3\alpha + (\beta-1)/p)\,;\, \frac{1}{\beta}\,(\alpha - \beta/4 + 1/2 - 1/p)\} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3\beta}\,(1 - \beta + 3\alpha + (\beta-1)/p)\,, & 2 \le p < 4 \\ \frac{1}{\beta}\,(\alpha - \beta/4 + 1/2 - 1/p)\}, & 4 < p. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

In the exceptional case $p = 4$ we have

$$\|b\phi_n\|_2 \le Cn^{\frac{2\alpha}{\beta+2} + \frac{1-\beta}{2(\beta+2)}} \log(n+2) \tag{7.13}$$

The following Proposition follows from (7.10), (7.12), (7.13) and Theorem 6. Our statement of Theorem 6 does not include $\alpha = 1$ (and therefore $\beta = 2$); a proper forumalation and proof of this Theorem for $\alpha = 1$ can be found in [1].

Proposition 18. *Let $T \in$ (7.6), $b \in L(p,\alpha)$, and define the operator B on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by $Bf = b(x)f(x)$.*
Suppose that

$$\begin{cases} \beta - 1 < p(-4 + 5\beta/2 - 3\alpha) \quad \textit{if} & 2 \le p < 4 \\ 2 > p(3 - 3\beta/2 + 2\alpha) \quad \textit{if} & 4 \le p. \end{cases} \tag{7.14}$$

Then the system of eigen and associated functions for the operator $T + B$ is an unconditional basis.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank P. Djakov, K. Shin, A. Shkalikov for interesting discussions related to this work.

References

- [1] J. Adduci, B. Mityagin, Eigensystem of an L^2-perturbed harmonic oscillator is an unconditional basis. arXiv 0912.2722.
- [2] E. Akhmerova, Spectral asymptotics for nonsmooth perturbations for the harmonic oscillator. Siberian Mathematical Journal, Vol. 49, No. 6, 968–984, 2008.
- [3] T. Kato, Similarity for sequences of projections. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 73, 1967, 904–905.

[4] T. Kato, Perturbation theory for linear operators, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1980.

[5] M. Naimark, Linear differential operators I, Frederic Ungar Publishing Co., New York, 1967.

[6] F. Olver, Asymptotics and special functions, Academic Press, New York, 1974.

[7] K. Shin, Anharmonic oscillators with infinitely many real eigenvalues and PT-symmetry, SIGMA 6 (2010), 015, 9 pages, ArXiv: 1002.0798

[8] K. Shin, Anharmonic oscillators in the complex plane, PT-symmetry, and real eigenvalues. To appear in Potential analysis. arXiv 1008.0905.

[9] A. Shkalikov, On basisness of root vectors of perturbed self-adjoint operator, Trudy Math. Steklov Institute, 269 (2010), 1-15.

[10] E. Titchmarsh, On the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues. Quarterly journal of mathematics, 5, 1954, 228–240.

Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, 231 West 18th Ave, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
E-mail address: `adducij@math.ohio-state.edu`

Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, 231 West 18th Ave, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
E-mail address: `mityagin.1@osu.edu`